

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27280 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-41 Year-2026 Thana- ROHTAS District- Rohtas

=====

Abhishek Kumar Son of Umesh Kumar Singh Resident of village - Thekhi
Balirampur, Police Station - Nokha, District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rani Kumari Wife of Abhishek Kumar Singh Resident of village - Thakhi
Balirampur, Police Station - Nokha, District - Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Shashi Kant, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate Mr. Harendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 13-07-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite

Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner, husband of the informant/Opposite
Party No. 2, apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 352, 88, 85
and 3(5) of the B.N.S., Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act and Section 3 of the Bihar Prevention of Witch
Practices Act.



3. Earlier, vide order dated 29.04.2026, the matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Patna High Court. From perusal of mediator's report dated 10.07.2026 it is apparent that despite best efforts, the dispute between the parties could not be resolved through the process of mediation.

4. As per prosecution case, marriage of informant/Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with this petitioner and thereafter, all the F.I.R. accused persons, including this petitioner, started demanding dowry and due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 was subjected to cruelty and harassment.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner denies the allegations made in the F.I.R.. As a matter of fact, petitioner never committed torture to informant/Opposite Party No. 2 or demanded any dowry. However, the petitioner undertakes to give Rs. 3,000/- (three thousand rupees) per month by way of temporary relief/solace, starting from this month, to the informant/Opposite Party No. 2. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 have



vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give temporary relief/solace of ***Rs. 3,000/- (three thousand rupees) per month to informant/Opposite Party No. 2***, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the petitioner, above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. Ist Class-cum-A.M., Dehri-on-Sone, District- Rohtas in connection with Rohtas P.S. Case No. 41 of 2026, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. with further following conditions:

“(A.) Informant/Opposite Party No. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(B.) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid amount per month in the saving bank account of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2.

(C.) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the aforesaid amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail bond.

(D.) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or



connected proceedings. The present order, in no way,
will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

