

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31355 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-400 Year-2025 Thana- BAHERI District- Darbhanga

1. Vijay Kumar @ Vijay Yadav S/o Late Briksh Yadav @ Late Viksh Yadav R/o Village- Paghari, P.S - Baheri, District - Darbhanga
2. Lalit Kumar Yadav S/o Late Vishnu Dev Yadav R/o Village- Paghari, P.S - Baheri, District - Darbhanga
3. Vikram Kumar @ Vikram Yadav S/o Late Ram Briksh @ Late Brichh Yadav R/o Village- Paghari, P.S - Baheri, District - Darbhanga
4. Dhiraj Kumar @ Dhiraj Kuamr Yadav S/o Naresh Yadav R/o Village- Paghari, P.S - Baheri, District - Darbhanga
5. Vidyanand Kumar Yadav @ Vidyanand Yadav S/o Krishna Yadav @ Kishan Yadav R/o Village- Paghari, P.S - Baheri, District - Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Kanchan Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Baheri P.S. Case No. 400 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 132, 115(2), 324(3), 121(1), 121(2), 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the B.N.S., lodged on 03.10.2025 by the informant, Ram Singhasan Singh.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that during Durga Pooja duty, on receiving information regarding clash between two parties, the Police reached the



place where it is alleged that those present there attacked the Police, the informant was man handled the service, they tried to snatch the service revolver and also pelted stone damaging the Police vehicle. The locals gave the name, the petitioner included. This led to the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that nothing specific has been recorded against them, the crowd was there, the petitioner were also part of it but Chowkidar due to enmity implicated him. Last submission is that without accepting the allegation or outcome of the petition the petitioners intend to pay Rs.2,000/- each (totaling Rs.10,000/-) by Demand Draft issued by the local branch of the State Bank of India/any Nationalized Bank to the Chief Minister's Relief Fund.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that some of the petitioners have criminal antecedent.

6. Taking into account the submissions of the parties as also that nothing has been recorded against these petitioners, F.I.R. is there, they shall be facing the trial, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend them the privilege of anticipatory bail subject to payment of Rs.2,000/- each (totaling Rs.10,000/-) by Demand Draft issued by the local branch of the



State Bank of India/any Nationalized Bank to the Chief Minister's Relief Fund to be submitted at the time of execution of bail before the concerned court.

7. Let the petitioners be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VII, Darbhanga, in connection with Baheri P.S. Case No. 400 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioners who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card/Voter Id/Driving License/Pan Card) to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioners shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioners shall appear before the concerned



police station every month for next six months to mark his attendance and at the end of the period a certificate be submitted before the Trial Court failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds;

(v) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

