

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27477 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-657 Year-2020 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

=====

Ravi Shankar Kumar, Son of Ratan Paswan, R/o Beldarichak, P.S.-
Gaurichak, Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N A Shamsi, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 13-05-2026 Heard Mr. N. A. Shamsi, learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in connection with Fatuha P.S. Case No. 657 of 2020 for the offence punishable under sections 392 IPC lodged on 13.09.2020 by the informant.

3. As per the prosecution case, the tempo bearing Registration No. BR 01PJ 6553 has allegedly been snatched by four unknown criminals.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR is against unknown and the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this only on the basis his criminal antecedent as he was taken into custody in Fatuha P.S. Case No. 02 of 2021 registered under Sections 411 and 414 of the Penal Code, along with section 251 (1-b) a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act. It has



further been stated that except the confession statement of co-accused, namely, Rahul @ Vikky who has disclosed his name during the course of investigation, nothing incriminating is said to have been recovered from the constructive possession of the petitioner. It has further been fairly submitted that the petitioner has got two criminal antecedent being similar in nature but in both of the cases, as mentioned in para-3 of the instant petition, is on bail.

5. On the contrary, learned APP vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail by submitting that the petitioner has got two similar nature of criminal antecedents under his belt.

6. Considering the fact that name of the petitioner has come on the disclosure of one co-accused Rahul @ Vikky while nothing incriminating is said to have been recovered from the petitioner, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of A.C.J.M., Patna City in connection



with aforesaid PS Case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

Perwez/suryadev

U		T	
---	--	---	--

