

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27539 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2025 Thana- CHIRAIYA District- East Champaran

=====

Krishna Yadav @ Krishna Kumar S/o- Paras Rai Resident of Village - Birta  
Tola, P.S. - Chiraiya, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Adarsh Ranjan, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Anish Chandra, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      08-05-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the  
offence punishable under Sections 80, 103, 238 and 3(5) of the  
B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that marriage  
of daughter of informant was solemnized with this petitioner  
eight years ago as per *Hindu* rites and rituals. It is alleged that  
after marriage, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including  
this petitioner, started torturing and harassing the victim due to  
non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and subsequently, killed



her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of the deceased. At no point of time, petitioner tortured or harassed the victim or demanded dowry. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner, having no criminal antecedents, is in custody since 17.07.2025.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation of that he, along with other accused persons, killed the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. Petitioner is husband of the deceased who died unnatural death at her matrimonial house.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and gravity of offence, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, considering the fact that petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2025, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same, preferably within a



period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy  
of this order.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

shashank/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

