

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26653 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-79 Year-2026 Thana- ARA NAGAR District- Bhojpur

Md. Munna, S/O Abdul Rahman, R/o Vill- Khetari Mohalla Jail ke pas, P.S-
Ara Nagar, Distt.- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XXX D/o YYY R/o vill – Abarpul par, P.S.- Ara Nagar, Distt.- Bhojpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Nafisu Zzoha, Advocate Mr. Nasar Iqbal, Advocate Mr. Md. Mamowar Hussain, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Ms. Rina Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 74, 75, 351(2), 352 of B.N.S. and Sections 8 and 12 of POCSO Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that while the informant and her minor sister used to go to coaching, the petitioner who is a tailor used to harass by words. It is further alleged that on 29.01.2026, when the informant along with her minor sister was going to coaching at about 08.15 A.M. as they reached near a lane, it is alleged that the petitioner started asking for mobile number and also touched them inappropriately. The



informant disclosed this incident to her parents, whereupon the case was filed.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. From perusal of the F.I.R. it is clear that the occurrence is of 29.01.2026 whereas the case was filed on 31.01.2026. There is delay of two days and the delay is not explained. It has further been submitted that the petitioner owns a tailoring shop on small piece of land and the father of the informant is having an eye on that land that is why he has been falsely framed in this case. It has further been submitted that during course of investigation, the victims have given their statement before the police under Section 180 of the B.N.S.S. and under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. before the Magistrate and they have stated that the petitioner used to follow them, ask for mobile number and attempted to touch them inappropriately. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 01.02.2026.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and



considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court of Exclusive Special Court of POCSO Act-cum-District & Additional Sessions Judge-VI, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Arrah Town P.S. Case No. 79 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

