

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26831 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-136 Year-2025 Thana- TIKAPATTI District- Purnia

=====

Brajesh Yadav @ Brajesh Kumar Yadav @ Amit Raj @ Kare Yadav Son of
Arjun Yadav Resident of Village- Dira Chandpur PS- Pothia Distt -Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 8(c), 21(b) and 29 of the
N.D.P.S. Act.

3. As per prosecution case, 20 grams of smack was
recovered from possession of co-accused Mausam Kumar, who
disclosed the name of this petitioner as supplier of the seized
contraband.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence.
Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this merely on the basis
of confessional statement of co-accused Mausam Kumar. Save
and except confessional statement, there is no material on record



to show the complicity of this petitioner in the occurrence. No incriminating article has been recovered from possession of this petitioner. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner is in custody since 06.01.2026.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and 20 grams of smack was recovered from possession of co-accused Mausam Kumar, which was supplied by this petitioner. Petitioner has got six criminal antecedents.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and criminal antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant bail of to the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, considering the fact that petitioner is in custody since 06.01.2026, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same, preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

