

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26247 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-468 Year-2025 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

=====

Satyanarayan Tiwari @ Satya Narain Tiwari Son of Late Deo Narayan Tiwari
Resident of Village - Posdaha, Ward No.- 06, P.S.- Fulkaha, District - Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kishore Bharti, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-04-2026 Heard Mr. Vijay Kishore Bharti, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
27.12.2025 in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 468 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 26.12.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 309(4) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, three miscreants
tied the hands and legs of the informant and took his mobile
phone and cash kept in his pocket and fled away with his city
Rickshaw.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Initially the petitioner was not named in the FIR and his name has been transpired during investigation on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused, namely, Lala Tiwari who happens to be the son of the petitioner. Apart from that it appears from the FIR that the date of occurrence is 24.12.2025 but the present FIR has been instituted on 26.12.2025 i.e., after two days without giving any explanation of the said delay and except the aforesaid, no other material has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 27.12.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner carries four criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits that he is on bail in three cases and one case is pending for consideration before the competent court of law.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM,



Araria in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 468 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

