

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28527 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-167 Year-2025 Thana- SARAI RANJAN District- Samastipur

Chandan Ray @ Chandan Kumar Ray S/O Surendra Ray R/O Ward No. 13,
Village- Gaupur, Bhagwatpur, P.S- Sarai Ranjan, Distt.- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kundan Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Narendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with
Sarairanjan P.S. Case No.167 of 2025 instituted under Sections
103(1), 238 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and
others are said to have killed the deceased.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this
case. The FIR is registered against unknown persons and the
name of the petitioner has come on the basis of the
confessional statement of the co-accused Rahul Kumar.

5. During investigation, it has come that the deceased
had taken drugs and because of which, he passed away.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts, this bail application is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioner, above named, be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Samastipur District- Samastipur/ concerned Court in connection with Sarai Ranjan P.S. Case No. 167 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482 of the BNSS/ 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

8. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

