

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26165 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-191 Year-2025 Thana- NAUHATTA District- Rohtas

1. Sarjan Singh @ Sajan Singh @ Sajan Kumar Singh Son of Saman Singh @ Saman Narayan Singh Resident of Village- Banahi, P.S.- Nauhatta, District- Rohtas
2. Abhinash Singh @ Abhinash Kumar Singh @ Avinash Singh Son of Shaligram Singh Resident of Village- Banahi, P.S.- Nauhatta, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Singh, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Humayou Ahmad Khan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 08-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 191 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 109(1), 140(2), 303(2), 352, 351(3) and 61(2) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 25(1-B)(a), 27 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner, along with others, arrived armed with weapons. It is specifically alleged that the petitioners were armed with weapons and Diwakar Singh, Ravi Shankar Singh, Pankaj Singh, Shaligram



Singh, and the petitioner had made firing, and certain persons were having *lathi*. Shaligram Singh, Sarjan Singh (the petitioner) and Ravi Shankar Singh were assaulted with a *lathi*.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus and specific allegation is only against one co-accused namely, Ravi Shankar Singh that he has assaulted with lathi. He further submits that the nature of injuries are simple. He further submits that similarly situated other co-accused has been granted bail by this court vide Cr. Misc. No. 20296 of 2026. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. Moreover, the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 10.03.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submits that the petitioner no. 1 has criminal antecedent of seven cases whereas petitioner no. 2 has criminal antecedent of four cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail **with the condition that the petitioners shall cooperate in trial and shall remain**



physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned trial court. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Dehri On Sone at Rohtas in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 191 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

