

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6715 of 2024

Richa Patel D/o Shashikant Singh, Shantinagar, P.O. Gurukul Mehiya,
Chhapra, Saran, Bihar 841301.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The National Institute of Technology Patna through Director Ashok Rajpath, Mahendru, Patna 800005 (Bihar).
2. Secretary to Visitor NIT Patna, President Secretariat, Presidents Secretariat, Rashtrapati Bhavan, New Delhi-110 004.
3. Department of Higher Education MoE through Secretary 127-C, Shashtri Bhawan, New Delhi, Delhi-110001.
4. University Grans Commission through Chairman UGC Building, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002.
5. All India Council for Technical Education through Chairman AICTE, Nelson Mandela Marg, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi-110070.
6. National Board of Accreditation through Secretary, 4th Floor, East Tower, NBCC Place, Bhisham Pitamah Marg, Pragati Vihar, New Delhi 110003.
7. Ministry of Women and Child Development through Secretary, MOWCD Office, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi -110001.
8. The Department of Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances through Director, 5th Floor, Sardar Patel Bhawan, Sansad Marg, New Delhi -110001.
9. Department of Social Welfare Govt. of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Block-4, Old Secretariat Campus, Patna 800015 (Bihar).
10. Senior Superintendent of Police Patna SSP Office, Gandhi Maidan, Patna 800001 (Bihar).
11. Pradip Kumar Jain, Ex-Officio Chairman Senate NIT Patna NIT Patna Campus, Ashok Rajpath, Mahendru, Patna 800005.
12. Ashok Kumar Modi, Chairperson BOG NIT Patna NIT Patna Campus, Ashok Rajpath, Mahendru, Patna 800005.
13. Kamini Sinha, Chairman Women Cell NIT Patna NIT Patna Campus, Ashok Rajpath, Mahendru, Patna 800005.
14. Bambam Kumar, HMC NIT Patna, NIT Patna Campus, Ashok Rajpath, Mahendru, Patna 800005.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Giri

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER



4 14-07-2026 None appears for the petitioner.

2. Learned Advocate for the NIT, learned Advocate for the UGC, learned Advocate for the AICTE as well as learned Advocate for the State are present.

3. On the last occasion, when the matter was taken up on 18.02.2026, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and the Court, after considering the submissions of the learned Advocate for the NIT, recorded as follows:

“2. Learned counsel for the N.I.T submits that the petitioner has already been allotted hostel and therefore, her grievance has been redressed. It is perhaps due to this reason that the petitioner has stopped attending this case. Since the petitioner is not present to confirm this, therefore, this case is adjourned by two weeks for enabling the petitioner to appear (in person) and apprise this Court as to whether the submission made by the N.I.T. is correct or not.”

4. Considering the submissions advanced and the fact that there is none to represent the petitioner despite opportunity extended earlier, this Court finds no reason to continue with the present proceeding. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

