

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26498 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2026 Thana- JAKKANPUR District- Patna

Satyam Kumar S/O Sri Vinod Singh Resident of Vill.- Sakarwar Tola, Ward
no.- 15, P.S- Mokama, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Manglam

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Shaheen Begum

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act, 2022.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 4.5 litres of liquor from a bag thrown by petitioner. It
is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as
such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and he
came to be implicated at the instance of Ranjit Kumar Pandey,
who was a rapido driver, who claimed to identify the petitioner but
then it is submitted that it appears that Ranjit Kumar Pandey for
ulterior reason implicated the petitioner when petitioner admittedly
is a person with clean antecedent.



4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Jakkanpur P.S. Case No.48/2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

