

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26329 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-435 Year-2025 Thana- DALSINGHSARAI District- Samastipur

Dr Sri Ram Kumar Ranjan @ Sri Ram Kumar Rajan S/o Late Raj Deo Singh  
(Proprietor- Sri Hospital), Resident of Village- Lokathpur Ganj, P.S.-  
Dalsinghsarai, District- Samastipur, Bihar. Permanent Address- R/o  
Godhiyari, P.S.- Tajpur, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Piyush Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      01-05-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Dalsinghsarai P.S. Case No. 435 of 2025 in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 105, 352, 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons are said to have killed the informant's father by administering an injection.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits, at the outset that the petitioner is a practicing doctor and also a proprietor of Sri Hospital, Dalsinghsarai, Samastipur. It is further submitted that the patient was brought in a very serious condition and immediate medical attention was given to him.



The allegation made in the FIR with regard to an injection being administered to the patient by the compounder which led to his death, is also incorrect as no injection was administered to him and his treatment was being done according to the medical norms. It has also been submitted that the postmortem report (Annexure-2) also indicates that the patient had coronary artery atherosclerosis with calcification and the cause of death opined to be acute myocardial infarction due to atherosclerotic coronary artery. Learned counsel has also invited this Court's attention to a complaint filed by the petitioner (Annexure-3) against the informant and others making an allegation over them of creating ruckus in the hospital and also demanding Rs. 5 lakhs for the medical negligence. It is, thus, asserted that the petitioner neither had any intention nor any knowledge to cause the death of the patient, as such, Section 105 of the BNS would not be attracted in the facts of the case.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for anticipatory bail.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and also considering the fact that the petitioner is a doctor, who was treating the patient, who had died during course of treatment, let the above named petitioner, in the event



of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Dalsinghsarai P.S. Case No. 435 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

priyanka/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

