

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6461 of 2026

=====

Sunil Kumar Suman Son of Shivchandra Singh, Resident of Village -
Madhurapur, P.S. - Goraul, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Home Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Vaishali.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Vaishali.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Mahua, Vaishali.
5. The D.C.LR, Mahua, Vaishali
6. The Block Development Officer, Goraul (Vaishali).
7. The Circle Officer, Goraul (Vaishali).
8. The Station House Officer, Goraul, Vaishali
9. The Anchal Amin, Circle office, Goraul. Vaishali

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Devendra Kumar Sinha, Sr. Advocate Mr. Kaushal Kishor, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mrs. Archana Meenakshee, GP 8 Mr. Rana Veer Prawar, AC to GP 8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for
grant of following reliefs:

*“I. for direction to respondent
no. 5 to insure the compliance of the
demarcation report made by Anchal Amin
having Mapi Booking I.D.- 180082500462
letter no. 424 dated 07/03/2026 whereby
and where under it was held that Braj
Kishor Singh and Natuni Singh, both son of*



late Chandarik Singh have encroached the land of petitioner about 0.872 Decimal of Khata No.- 132, Khesra no- 889 in view of Demarcation map dated 7/03/2026.

II. for direction to construct boundary wall over land in question which is subject matter of the instant writ petition.

III. for direction to provide atmosphere of peace and tranquility over land in question which is under possession of petitioner.

IV. for any other relief/s for which the petitioner is found entitle in the fact and circumstances of the case and /or the sake of justice.”

3. After some argument, learned Senior counsel submits that it will suffice if a fresh representation bringing on record the entire grievance of the petitioner to be preferred before the respondent no. 2, the Collector, Vaishali is considered and then appropriate order passed.

4. Learned State counsel submits that if such petition is filed, the same shall be taken to its logical conclusion.

5. In that background, the writ petition stands disposed of align the petitioner to file petition before the Collector, Vaishali, Vaishali, (Respondent No. 2) within four weeks and in case such petition is preferred, after



noticing/hearing of the parties, he/she taken the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ranjeet/-

U			
---	--	--	--

