

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6748 of 2026

=====

Ajay Kumar Son of Sukan Das, resident of village Kahudag Tola Nimiyatand,
Panchayat Kahudag, P.O. and P.O.- Barachatti, District- Gaya Jee.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Directorate of Jan Shiksh, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Gaya Jee.
4. The District Education Officer, Gaya Jee.
5. The District Program Officer (Literacy), Gaya Jee.
6. The Block Education Officer, Block Barachatti, District- Gaya Jee.
7. The Headmaster/School Incharge, Middle School, Kahudag, Panchayat, Kahudag, Block - Barachatti, District- Gaya Jee.
8. The K.R.P (Key Resource Person), Literacy, Block - Barachatti, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Birendra Kumar Singh
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (05)
		Mr.Kumar Pankaj, Ac to SC 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned counsel for the respondent-State.

2. With the consent of the parties, this writ application

is being disposed of at this stage itself.

3. In the present writ application, the petitioner

has prayed for the grant of the following relief:-

*“For issuance of ar appropriate writ,
order or direction, particularly in the nature of
writ of Mandamus, commanding and directing*



the respondent and its authorities to issue the appointment letter of petitioner who was obtained first position of merit list and respondents have completed the proceedings and uploaded the government website (gaya.nic.in) on 02.02.2024”.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for seeking the aforesaid relief, the petitioner is prepared to file a fresh representation before the District Programme Officer (Literacy), Gaya Jee and he further prays that an appropriate direction be issued to the District Programme Officer (Literacy), Gaya Jee to dispose of the representation within a fixed time-frame, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

5. To the aforesaid submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the respondent-State does not have any objection.

6. Considering the limited nature of the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ application is disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the District Programme Officer (Literacy), Gaya Jee within two weeks from today. If such a representation is filed within the stipulated time, the District Programme Officer (Literacy), Gaya Jee shall dispose of the same within a



period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

7. Needless to emphasize that the final order, which shall be passed by the District Programme Officer (Literacy), Gaya Jee, shall be a reasoned and speaking order, and in case the petitioner is found entitled to the relief(s), which the petitioner would be claiming through the representation, the same should be extended to the petitioner within a further period of one month from the date of passing of the final order.

8. With the aforesaid observation/direction and liberty granted, this writ application stands disposed of.

9. All pending interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also be deemed to have been disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

HR/-

U			
---	--	--	--

