

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29391 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-22 Year-2026 Thana- TILAUTHU District- Rohtas

1. Radhika Devi W/O Surendra Pratap Resident of Village- Pratappur, P.S.- Chandauli, District- Chandauli (Uttar Pradesh).
2. Surendra Pratap S/O Late Surahu Ram Resident of Village- Pratappur, P.S.- Chandauli, District- Chandauli (Uttar Pradesh).
3. Vikash Kumar S/O Surendra Pratap Resident of Village- Pratappur, P.S.- Chandauli, District- Chandauli (Uttar Pradesh).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. D.K. Sinha, Sr. Adv. Mr. Alexander Ashok, Adv. Mr. Jawed Akhtar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 24-06-2026 Heard Mr. D.K. Sinha, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Alexander Ashok, for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Tilothu P.S. Case No. 22 of 2026 dated 28.01.2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80(2), 85, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that after the marriage, the Informant's daughter was subjected to cruelty by her husband and in-laws on account of dowry demands,



including a car. It is further alleged that on 12.01.2026, Vivek Kumar (husband of the deceased) informed the Informant that Savita Kumari (deceased) had committed suicide by hanging.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner no.1 is the mother-in-law, petitioner no.2 is the father-in-law and petitioner no.3 is the elder brother of the husband of the deceased. It is submitted that it is apparent that the petitioners were residing separately and were separate in mess and they have no connection with their day-to-day activities. It has next been submitted that the husband of the deceased himself had informed the Informant with regard to suicide committed by his daughter and, thereafter, the instant case is said to have been registered..

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the allegations made against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.



10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barh, Patna in connection with Tilothu P.S. Case No. 22 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document / personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

