

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6656 of 2026

=====

Ganesh Mandal Son of late Khetari Mandal, Resident of Village - Sahabad,
P.O. - Gangapur, P.s. - Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur, Bihar - 813213.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Land Reforms,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, At Saharsa, District- Saharsa.
3. The Superintendent of Police, At Saharsa, District- Saharsa.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, At Saharsa, District- Saharsa.
5. The Circle Officer, Nauhatta, District- Saharsa.
6. The SHO, Nauhatta Police Station, District- Saharsa.
7. Baldeo Singh Son of Garghu Singh, Resident of Village - Gangapur, P.S. -
Kishunganj, District- Saharsa.
8. Babu Lal Singh Son of Garghu Singh, Resident of Village - Gangapur, P.S. -
Kishunganj, District- Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Giri, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Government Advocate (3)
		Mr. Shiv Kumar, AC to GA-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 16-06-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“for issuance of a writ in the nature of
"Mandamus" commanding and directing the state
respondents hereinabove to get the lands having
Khata No.- 1134, appertaining to Khesra no. 361,
362, 363, 368, 390, 391, 392 and 394, measuring*



total area of land 1 Acre 18 decimals free from the illegal physical possession of the private respondents mentioned above and the aforesaid lands falls within Mauza Gangapur, Thana No.- 83, P.S.- Kishunganj District- Saharsa and lands in question is recorded in the name of ancestor of the petitioner as per khatiyani and since the grandfather namely Shashi Mandal of the petitioner died before several years back and therefore the aforesaid land devolved upon the petitioner by inheritance as a legal heir to the grandfather of the petitioner. The further prayer of the petitioner hereinabove is to command and direct the state respondents hereinabove to facilitate the petitioner for cultivation/physical possession over the lands in question which is obstructed by the private respondents hereinabove as and when the petitioner hereinabove tries to have physical possession over the lands and to cultivate the same season wise.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is allowed to seek alternate remedy in



the matter.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

