

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27385 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-199 Year-2025 Thana- ATHMALGOLA District- Patna

Jitesh Kumar S/o Opendra Singh @ Upendra Kumar R/o vill - Karai Parsurai,  
P.S.- Karai Parsurai, Distt.- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                    |   |                                   |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner | : | Mr. Ashok Kumar Kashyap, Advocate |
| For the State      | : | Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP        |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      11-05-2026                      Heard Mr. Ashok Kumar Kashyap, learned counsel  
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Umeshanand  
Pandit, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and  
61(2) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, as per written report  
of the informant, namely Sanju Devi, is that on 05.06.2025 at  
about 5:30 PM, when her husband was standing on the road, in  
the meantime, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including  
this petitioner, armed with deadly weapons, started abusing him.  
It is further alleged that on the direction of co-accused Lakhan  
Singh, this petitioner and co-accused Rahul Kumar fired upon  
husband of informant due to which he died on the spot.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to pre-existing land dispute between the parties. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there is specific accusation that he, along with co-accused Rahul Kumar, fired upon husband of informant due to which he died on the spot.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation, gravity of offence and fact that petitioner is one of the assailant of the deceased, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

shashank/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

