

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6187 of 2026

=====

Pankaj Singh Son of Nityanand Singh, Resident of village- Shiromani Tola,
Nayagaon, P.S.- Parbatta, District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Rural Development, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Khagaria.
3. The District Development Commissioner, Khagaria.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Gogari, District- Khagaria.
5. The Block Development Officer, Parbatta Block, District- Khagaria.
6. The Circle Officer, Parbatta Block, District- Khagaria.
7. The Officer-in-Charge, P.S.- Parbatta, District- Khagaria.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Advocate (7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 11-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

(i) for issuance of any appropriate writ or writs, rule or direction, especially in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents not to interfere in the Title and Possession of the Petitioner as his residential house is standing at under Mauza Jorawarpur, Tauzi No. 2513, Thana No. 370, Khata No. 542, Khesra No. 1252, Total Area 10 dhur and under Khesra No.



1253 total Seller of the area 5 dhur bounded by north Land, South Madho Ram and Others, East Priyanka Singh and Seller, West - Vilas Yadav and another boundaries is north North - Kachchi Sadak, South Seller, East Old Well, West Purchaser which has been purchased through registered sale deed no. 3520 dated 27.07.2016 and enjoyed the peaceful possession over the said land and the authorities are adamant to take over the right of property without any appropriate proceeding which is illegal and arbitrary in the eye of law as well as on facts as the construction of road over the raiyati land of the petitioner without consent of the land owner is bad in law which may be restricted for the ends of justice to secure the legal right of the petitioner.

(ii) For issuance of any appropriate writ or writs, rule or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents not to disturb the residential land of the petitioner with regard to construction of road and further petitioner has no any objection with regard to construction of village road if it is being made as per the map of road and at the government land.

(iii) For issuance of any appropriate writ or writs, rule or direction as



this Hon'ble Court may found fit and proper to the facts and circumstances of the case as well as for which the petitioner may be found entitled thereto.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be approaching the respondent no. 2, the Collector, Khagaria for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State Counsel has no objection.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the concerned authority who shall be noticing/hearing the parties and will be passing the order in accordance with law.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

