

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25276 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2024 Thana- RANIYATALAB District- Patna

Krishna Yadav, S/O Late Chhotu Yadav, R/O Village- Jitan Chhapra, P.S.-
Rani Talab, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 01-05-2026 This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant
of regular bail.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

3. Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in
connection with Sessions Trial No.1057 of 2025 arising out of
Rani Talab P.S. Case No. 72 of 2024 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 341, 323, 307, 354B, 379, 504, 506
read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and later on
Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code was added.

4. As per the prosecution's case, the allegation against
the petitioner is that he had assaulted one Govind Sharan, who is



the husband of the informant, on his head by a *khanti*, due to which he died during the course of treatment.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a 73 years old man and he has falsely been implicated by the agnates due to a longstanding rivalry between them. It has further been submitted that the allegations are general and omnibus in nature and other co-accused persons have been granted bail by this Hon'ble Court. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 10.08.2024.

6. The learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and has stated that there is a specific allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the husband of the informant who died in course of treatment. A report was called for from the learned Trial Court with regard to the stage of trial and it has been reported that out of seven witnesses two have already been examined and the rest five witnesses are yet to be examined, however, summons, bailable warrants and dasti summons have already been issued to the witnesses.

7. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances and taking into account the specific nature of the allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to release the petitioner



on bail as of now.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected.

9. The learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial of the present case and looking at the age of the petitioner, the trial should be completed within a period of eight months from today without giving unnecessary adjournments to either side. The learned Trial Court should ensure that the prosecution witnesses are made to appear by taking coercive steps.

10. It is made clear that the observation, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

manoj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

