

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30671 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-306 Year-2024 Thana- ADAPUR District- East Champaran

Rajendra Sah Son of late Lahwar Sah Resident of village- Sirisiya, Ps-
Adapur, Dist- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Namita Sharma, Adv Mr. Anshu Dhar Sharma, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Adapur P.S. Case No. 306 of 2024, registered for the offence punishable under Section 406, 420, 467, 468, 323, 504 and 379/34 of the IPC.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, as per the informant is that in the year 2018, the accused persons Satyendra Sah (the petitioner herein) and Rajendra Sah had taken Rs. 2,50,000/- from the informant to provide him service of peon. In the year 2019, the petitioner Satyendra Sah had again taken Rs. 50,000/- from the informant for the said purpose. It is further alleged that when the informant did not



getting job till September 2020, he went at the house of the accused persons and requested them to return his money, then the accused persons made an undertaking to refund the money. On 20.09.2023 at about 4:00 PM, the informant along with some villagers went at the house of the accused persons regarding the said money, then both of them and 2-4 unknown persons started abusing the informant and also assaulted him. Satyendra Sah took out cash Rs. 5000/- from the pocket of informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. He further submits that he has falsely been implicated in the present case as he happens to be the father of Satyendra Sah. There is no direct allegation against the petitioner in the FIR and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. From the reading of the FIR, it transpires that the main allegation is against Satyendra Sah of taking money from the informant for providing him a job of peon. The petitioner seems to have been implicated simply because he is the father of the co-accused Satyendra Sah. The petitioner has clean antecedent.



Under these circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Adapur P.S. Case No. 306 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and subject to the further condition that:-

(i) the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation/trial.

(ii) the learned Court would, however, verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the Court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, it is expected that the verification process would be done expeditiously without causing any delay.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

