

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25251 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-115 Year-2025 Thana- BHAGWANPUR District- Kaimur
(Bhabua)

=====

Sintu Pathak @ Mithilesh Pathak S/o Ghanshyam Pathak Resident of Village-
Singhi, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District- Kaimur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Priyanka Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Nath Jha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 13-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352,
351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner had earlier moved this Court seeking regular bail by
filing Cr. Misc. No.62155 of 2025 and the same came to be
rejected by an order dated 23.12.2025 with liberty to the
petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is in custody since 09.05.2025 and has antecedent
of one case and the charges against the petitioner stands framed



by order dated 06.02.2026 as pleaded at Para-9 of the regular bail application.

5. Learned A.P.P. submits that no doubt the petitioner was granted the liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge and it has been pleaded also that charges against the petitioner stands framed by order dated 06.02.2026, but then the order framing charge has not been annexed.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Bhagwanpur P. S. Case No. 115 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court before releasing the petitioner on bail shall verify whether charges against the petitioner has been framed or not and if it is found that charges against the petitioner has not been framed in that event the present order shall not be given effect to, but if it is found that charges against the petitioner stands framed, in that event, petitioner will be released forthwith.



9. It is further made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release is trying the delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

