

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25212 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-224 Year-2025 Thana- BODHGAYA District- Gaya

=====

Vikram Kumar S/o Mithlesh Yadav R/o vill - Tikabigha, P.S.- Bodhgaya,
Distt.- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 224 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 326(g), 109 and 303(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner, along with 30 other named and 25-30 unnamed accused persons, came to the sand *ghat* and demanded sand free of cost from the contractor. Thereafter, it is stated that an altercation took place and Rs. 50,000/- was snatched, and the petitioner, along with others, assaulted Kapil Kumar with an iron rod on the head.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. From perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the nature of the allegation is general and omnibus. He also submits that from perusal of the order of the learned trial court, it is clear that the learned trial court has recorded that injured Kapil Kumar has specifically stated that the petitioner was assaulted on his head by an iron rod. As per the injury report, the injury of Kapil Kumar is simple in nature. He further submits that in any view of the matter, the injury that is alleged to be caused by the petitioner is simple in nature. He further submits that the other co-accused person has been granted bail by the learned coordinate bench of this court vide Cr. Misc. No. 38404 of 2025. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 25.01.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail



bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties
of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief
Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in connection with Bodhgaya P.S.
Case No. 224 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

