

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27097 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2025 Thana- MAHALGAON District- Araria

Hasim @ Md. Hasim S/O Imamuddin @ Md. Imam Ali R/O Village -
Chaukta, Ward NO.11, , P.S.- Mahalgaon, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 24-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Mahalgaon P.S. Case No. 66 of 2025, instituted for the offences
under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 103(1), 352 and 3(5)
of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected by this Court vide order dated
30.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 57622 of 2025 taking into
consideration the direct allegation of giving farsa blow upon the
brother of the informant by the petitioner.

4. In compliance of the order dated 03.07.2026, a



report dated 10.07.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that out of six charge-sheeted witnesses, three witnesses have been examined in this case.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 17.04.2025 without any rhymes or reason and has got no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of three months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of three months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

