

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.585 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-0 Thana- District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Satish Kumar Son of Pashupati Prasad Singh Resident of Village - Rasulpur,
P.S. - Ahiyapur, District - Muzaffarpur at present Mohalla - Sarswati Nagar,
Bhagawanpur, P.S. - Sadar, District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the principal Secretary, Home Deptt., Bihar, Patna. Bihar
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna. Bihar
3. The Inspector General of Police, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur. Bihar
4. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur. Bihar
5. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur. Bihar
6. Teh Sub Divisional Police officer, East, Muzaffarpur. Bihar
7. The officer-in- Charge, Ahiyapur, P.s. Muzaffarpur. Bihar
8. Pramod Sahni Son of Late Lal Govind Sahni Resident of Village- Rasulpur, P.S. - Ahiyapur, District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar, AC to GP-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 13-01-2026 The present writ petition has been filed seeking
following relief(s):

*“I. To provide protection to live and
property of the petitioner from private
respondent and his associates.*

*II. To direct the official respondents to
retrain the private respondent for going to the
land of the petitioner.*

*III. To provide any relief/reliefs to the
petitioner for which he is found entitle as per*



facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. So far as relief no. II is concerned, such relief could be granted by a civil court of competent jurisdiction and praying for relief of restraining the private respondents from going to land of the petitioner does not fall within the writ jurisdiction of this Court. So far as relief at serial no. I is concerned, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has in general terms submitted that threats have been extended to the petitioner but failed to point out one specific instance where any such harm has come to the life of the petitioner. So far as protection of the property of the petitioner is concerned, the petitioner has already approached the police and FIR has been registered vide Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 888 of 2021 under Sections 341, 323, 385, 506 r/w 34 of IPC. Even in future, if any apprehension arises to the life and property of the petitioner, the petitioner is at liberty to have recourse of law before the appropriate authority and a standing order could not be passed by this Court under the writ jurisdiction regarding protection of life and property of the petitioner from private respondents and his associates.

3. In any case, the State is under its bounden duty to protect the life and liberty of its citizens and if any such complaint is received from the petitioner about threat to his life



and property, the authorities are directed to act upon such complaint with promptitude.

4. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Ashish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

