

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27637 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2026 Thana- CHAPRA TOWN District- Saran

Satrudhan Ray @ Bhuar Rai Son of Late Kishun Rai R/O Vill.- Dahiyawan
Dargah, P.S.- Town, District- Saran, Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2026 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Town P.S. Case No.20 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 317(4), 111, 308(2), 132, 318(4), 61(2), 3(5) of the BNS, Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act and Sections 30(a) and 45 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. It is alleged that the petitioner, along with other accused persons, was involved in the illegal trade of illicit liquor and narcotic substances. It is further alleged that they were also engaged in activities such as snatching and extortion. Acting upon the aforesaid information, the police conducted a raid at the residence of one Lakhan Rai and recovered various incriminating articles, including cash of Rs. 5,00,009/-, besides



knife, katta, sword, and other articles.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that the falsity of the prosecution case is writ large for the simple reason that prior to the institution of the present case, the petitioner had never been implicated in any criminal case and, therefore, the allegation that he is a habitual offender engaged in such criminal activities is wholly unfounded and without any basis. It is further submitted that, save and except the alleged confessional statement of the apprehended co-accused, there is no material whatsoever connecting the petitioner with the alleged offence. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, yet he has been arrayed as an accused. Insofar as the recovery of certain mobile phones and earbuds is concerned, it is submitted that the said articles were recovered from a house occupied by a joint family and cannot, by themselves, be attributed exclusively to the petitioner. Moreover, the petitioner bears fair antecedent and undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceedings of the Court.

5. Learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the recovery of several mobile phones and other incriminating articles from the



house where the petitioner resides clearly indicates his nexus with the other co-accused persons and *prima facie* establishes his involvement in the alleged offence.

6. Having regard to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the nature of the accusation, coupled with the fact that no incriminating article has been recovered from the house of the petitioner and the entire case is based upon the confessional statement of co-accused as also the fair antecedent of the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Exclusive Special Court, Excise Act No.1, Saran Chapra in connection with Town P.S. Case No.20 of 2026, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, with further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

