

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1367 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-10 Year-2026 Thana- SC/ST District- Nawada

=====

Eppu Yadav S/o Anil Yadav R/o Village- Chainpura, PS- Narhat, Distt- Nawada

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant	:	Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Binay Krishna, Spl.PP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Vishwa Ranjan Choudhary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-06-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the appellant, learned Special Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State and learned counsel appearing for the informant.

2. This appeal has been filed for setting aside order dated 12.03.2026 passed in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 303(2), 308(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(1)(w) and 3(2)(va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, whereby the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail of this appellant has been rejected.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that on 24.01.2026, when the informant was returning home, in the meantime, this appellant, along with three unknown accused



persons, started hurling caste abuse, snatched Rs. 5,000/- cash and gold *Mangalsutra* and also demanded extortion from her.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant that appellant is quite innocent and has committed no offence. As a matter of fact, prior to institution of the present F.I.R., this appellant, along with other persons, filed an application before the Block Education Officer, Narhat, Nawada on 18.09.2025 against the informant and others for committing fraud and in retaliation and only with a view to save herself, this false and concocted case has been lodged. It is further submitted that it is not the case of the prosecution that any member of public was present at the place of occurrence and as such, no case under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act is made out against this appellant. Appellant claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned Spl. P.P. appearing for the respondent-State and learned counsel appearing for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the appellant.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the appellant, this appeal is allowed and the impugned order dated 12.03.2026 passed by the learned



Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Nawada in connection with A.B.P. No. 390 of 2026 arising out of Nawada SC/ST P.S. Case No. 10 of 2026 is hereby set aside with respect to this appellant only.

7. Accordingly, let the appellant, named above, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Nawada in connection with Nawada SC/ST P.S. Case No. 10 of 2026.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

