

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26234 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-505 Year-2025 Thana- NAUTAN District- West Champaran

Bhuttu Khan @ Bhutu Khan son of Alisher Khan @ Alisen Khan R/o Village
-Sansaraiya P.S. -Bettiah Mufassil, District -West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Asif Kalim, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-04-2026 Heard Mr. Asif Kalim, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
15.10.2025 in connection with Nautan P.S. Case No. 505 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 15.10.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 309(6), 3(5) and 61(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, some unknown
miscreants have assaulted the informant and snatched his E-
Rickshaw keys and took away the same.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. He further submits as per the FIR
the only allegation against the petitioner is that he has helped



the co-accused persons to book E-Rickshaw and there is no specific allegation of assault or theft attributed against the petitioner. He further submits that the E-Rickshaw in question has been recovered from the mango orchard and petitioner has no concern at all from the present occurrence and informant has made the petitioner accused in the present case because petitioner is known to the informant. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 15.10.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the petitioner has clean antecedent, he was not present at the place of occurrence as well as period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned SDJM, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Nautan P.S. Case No. 505 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and



on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

