

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25317 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-190 Year-2025 Thana- MAGADH UNIVERSITY District- Gaya

1. Lalu Yadav @ Lal Bihari Yadav S/o Dayal Yadav R/o Village- Mahaudipur, P.S.- Magadh University, District- Gaya
2. Pradeep Kumar @ Pradeep Yadav S/o Lalu Yadav @ Lal Bihari Yadav R/o Village- Mahaudipur, P.S.- Magadh University, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uma Kant Mishra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Magadh University P.S. Case No. 190 of 2025 dated 23.08.2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 352, 351(2), 115(2), 109(1), 117(2), 74, 303(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per prosecution case, on 27.08.2025 at about 10:00 A.M., the informant and his brother reached their field and found the accused persons uprooting paddy. When they objected, the accused abused and threatened them. Accused Lal Bihari Yadav assaulted the informant on the head with an iron



rod, and Pradeep Yadav assaulted his brother with a farsa, causing serious injuries. Other accused also assaulted them with various weapons, resulting in fracture to the informant's hand and multiple injuries to his brother. The informant's mother was also assaulted and her gold ornament was snatched. Thereafter, the accused fled away from there, giving threats to kill.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case due to village party politics. He further submits that though there is an allegation against the petitioners of assaulting the Informant and his brother but, the injuries caused upon them are found to be simple in nature. It is next submitted that the co-accused Sandeep Yadav @ Sandeep Kumar has already been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 08.04.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 18986 of 2026. Counsel for the petitioners further undertakes that the petitioners will maintain peace and harmony with the Informant and in case of there being any allegation of committing the similar offence and, on finding substance in such allegation, the police or the Informant will be at liberty to take recourse for cancellation of the bail bonds of the petitioners. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners are



persons of clean antecedent.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and considering that the injuries caused to the informant and his brother are simple in nature, the co-accused has already been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioners have clean antecedents and, as also, the undertaking having been given by counsel for the petitioners, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya Ji in connection with Magadh University P.S. Case No. 190 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. as well as the following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document / personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date



before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of their bail bonds by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

