

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25260 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-114 Year-2022 Thana- PIPRAKOTHI District- East Champaran

Kaif Ansari @ Kaif Khatarnak S/O Soaib Ansari @ Soaib Miyan R/O Village-
Jhadwa, P.S- Harsidhi, Distt.- East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 01-05-2026 Heard Mr.Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr.Anil Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
27.01.2026 in connection with Pipra Kothi P.S. Case No. 114 of
2022, F.I.R. dated 20.04.2022 registered for the offence
punishable under Section 392 of IPC.

3. According to the FIR the prosecution case in brief
is that the informant Digvijay Narayan has given a written
petition before SHO Piprakothi P.S and stated therein that the
informant Digvijay Narayan is the owner of truck bearing
registration No. UP84T 9354 ten tons of iron rod for its delivery
moved for Motihari. It has further alleged that some person
looted the truck by kidnapping the driver and Khalashi and left



the truck in the territorial jurisdiction of Sahebganj PS. It has further alleged that the informant came to know about the truck being taken in wrong direction by the G.P.S. chip, then he informed the S.HO. Sahebganj on his CUG number and then the truck was recovered. It has further alleged that the loaded iron rods were not found on the truck so the informant has raised suspicion against the driver and Khalashi of the truck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner has been transpired during investigation on the basis of the confessional statement of co-accused person, namely, Pradeep Giri @ Dhananjay Giri and nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and till date no TIP has been conducted by the prosecution and co-accused person, namely, Pradeep Giri @ Dhananjay Giri, who has confessed the name of the petitioner, has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 09.05.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No.9828 of 2023, and several other co-accused persons have also been granted anticipatory bail/regular bail by different Coordinate Benches of this Hon'ble Court and the police, after investigation, submitted the chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 27.01.2026 .



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one but fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in the said case, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Pipra Kothi P.S. Case No. 114 of 2022, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his



criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

