

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24335 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-299 Year-2025 Thana- KHAJANCHI HAT District- Purnia

Baljit Son of Sri Hari Singh Resident of Village- Kabrel, P.S.- Adampur,  
District- Hisar (Hariyana)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Anand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Suman Kumari Singh, A.P.P

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      03-07-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since  
04.12.2025 in connection with K. Hat P.S. Case No. 299 of 2025  
for the offence punishable under Section 87 of the B.N.S.

3. The prosecution story in brief is that one Amit  
Kumar gave a written statement before the officer in charge of  
K. Hat police station. Alleging therein that on 21.07.2025, his  
sister-in-law Swati Kumari had come to his house located in  
Sahebhan Hata Purnea on 28.06.2025 along with his wife Tannu  
Kumari and she was living well and on 06.07.2025 at about  
08:00 AM, she went away without informing anything and  
thereafter, he suspected that anybody lured his sister-in-law with  
the intention of marriage and took her away and he also



searched for his sister-in-law but he did not find her and hence the FIR. Accordingly, the FIR has been registered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is forged and fabricated and the petitioner is not named in the FIR and the name of the petitioner has transpired during the course of investigation on the basis of statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNSS. He further submits that similarly situated, co-accused persons have been granted bail vide order dated 25.03.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 85375 of 2025 by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, on the basis of materials available on record and the case diary has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNSS it suggests that victim was recovered from house of the petitioner and it also appears from paragraph-4 of the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNSS that the victim was in the house of the petitioner and other co-accused persons have been arrested from the house of the petitioner.



6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with K. Hat P.S. Case No. 299 of 2025 pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnea.

7. Prayer is refused.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Gaurav Sinha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

