

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1353 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5039 of 2020

=====

Arjun Sharma son of Late Devi Sharma, resident of village-Laxmipur, Police Station-Pranpur, District-Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Manesh Kumar Meena, the Collector, Katihar.
3. Sri Shambhoo Nayak, the Former District Fisheries Officer, Katihar, District-Katihar at present (under suspension) in the office of the District Fisheries Office, Patna.
4. Sri Sanat Kumar present District Fisheries Officer, Katihar, District-Katihar.
5. Pranpur Prakhand Matasya Jeevi Sahyog Samiti Limited at Roshna, Police Station-Pranpur, District-Katihar through the Secretary.
6. Kunal Nishad, Secretary, Pranpur Prakhand Matasya Jeevi Sahyog Samiti Limited at Roshna, Police Station-Pranpur, District-Katihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Swati Mishra, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Standing Counsel 25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 09-01-2026 Heard Dr. Swati Mishra, learned counsel for the

petitioner and the State.

2. The present application has been preferred for initiation of the contempt of court proceeding against the Opposite Parties specially the Opposite Party No.2 and 3 for deliberate violation of the order dated 1.4.2024 passed by this Court in C.W.J.C. No.5039 of 2020 (Annexure-1) in collusion with the Opposite party no. 6.

3. An order has been passed by the Collector, Katihar



(Annexure-OP/A). It is taken note of the fact that the period for which the settlement was made already has passed in the year 2021-22 and in case, there is further dispute, the petitioner can go before the co-operative society.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Collector, Katihar erred in sending the matter to co-operative society, there is no such provision. She further submits that Rs. 92,000/- was deposited which has not been refunded.

5. Upon query, learned State Counsel acknowledges that in case, the said amount has been deposited and still pending, if an appropriate petition is filed for its refund before appropriate authority, it shall be taken note of.

6. In that background, granting liberty to the petitioner to agitate the matter before appropriate authority for refund of the amount, in view of the fact that the settlement period already stands lapsed, MJC No. 1353 of 2025 is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

