

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28583 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-83 Year-2025 Thana- SUIYA District- Banka

Kuldip Yadav @ Kuldeep Yadav S/o Late Harkhu Yadav Resident Of Village-
Bhelwa, Ps- Suiya, Dist- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Ravi Prakash Dwivedi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-06-2026 Heard Mr. N.K. Agrawal, learned Senior Counsel for

the petitioner and learned APP for the State through virtual

mode.

2. A prayer for bail has been made on behalf of the
petitioner in connection with Suiya P.S. Case no.83 of 2025
registered under sections 316(2), 318(4), 328, 336(3), 340(2)
and 3(5) of BNS.

3. Allegation in the F.I.R is that 1357.25 quintals of
paddy worth Rs.31,55,606/- had been dishonestly
misappropriated and embezzled by the Chairman (petitioner),
Manager and Member of the Primary Agricultural Credit Co-
operative Society Limited.

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits



that the petitioner happens to be the Chairman of the PACS Committee and there is general and omnibus allegation of misappropriation of 1357.25 quintals of paddy worth Rs.31,55,606/- against accused persons. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is not responsible for the said offence and rather the Manager Sikandar Yadav is responsible. Nevertheless, the petitioner on his own deposited a sum of Rs.6,90,000/- which has been stated in paragraph no.10 of the petition and the bank statement has also been annexed as Annexure-P/3. It has also been submitted that petitioner is suffering from physical ailments and the medical report to that effect has also been annexed as Annexure-P/4. The petitioner, having no criminal antecedent, has now remained in custody since 07.02.2026 and undertakes to cooperate in the case/trial. Charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Taking into consideration the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there are general and omnibus allegation of misappropriation of food grains and the petitioner being the Chairman of the PACS Committee has deposited a substantial amount, coupled with the



fact that charge-sheet has been submitted and petitioner is an old man suffering from physical ailments, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Suiya P.S. Case no.83 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned where the case is pending/successor Court.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

