

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7487 of 2025

1. Nagina Sahani son of late Parmeshwar Sahni, resident of Village Andarkila, Police Station-Hajipur Town, District Vaishali.
2. Sunil Sahni son of late Shiv Sahni resident of Village Andarkila, Machhua Toli, Police Station- Hajipur Town, District Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Animal Husbandry and Fisheries, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Animal Husbandry and Fisheries, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Vaishali
4. The District Animal Husbandry Officer, Vaishali
5. The District Fisheries Officer and Chief Executive Officer, Vaishali
6. Vijay Kumar Sahni, the ex-president of Hajipur Prakhanda Matsya Givi Sahyog Samiti, son of Late Ramnarayan Sahni resident of Mohalla Hathsarganj, PO and PS-Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali, Pin-844103.
7. Gautam Sahni, the present Mantri/Koshadhyaksh of Hajipur Prakhanda Matsya Givi Sahyog Samiti, resident of Mohalla - Hathsarganj, PO and PS-Hajipur Town, District-Vaishali, Pin-844103.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (20)
	:	Mr. Md. Zeeshan Kalim, AC to SC-20

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

“for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order, or direction, particularly in the nature of certiorari, to quash the order contained in Memo No. 98 dated 10/01/2025, passed by Respondent No. 5, which declines the



claim of the petitioners for the refund of Rs.7,10,984/- along with interest thereon.

Further prayer is being made for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to return the aforesaid amount i.e. Rs.7,10,984/- (due against the previous societies) deposited by the petitioners in compliance with letter No. 284 dated 11/08/2012. The alleged due revenue amount, however, does not belong to the new society but to the previous four societies.”

3. Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners after some argument submit that the petitioner shall be taking appropriate steps in accordance with law by approaching the competent authority/court for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

