

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24885 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-682 Year-2025 Thana- GAURICHAK District- Patna

Chandan Chaudhary S/O Shivnath Chaudhary R/O Vill.- Warama,P.S-
Gaurichak, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Ms. Mickey Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Gaurichak P.S. Case No.682 of 2025, for allegedly having committed offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 109, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution story, which has been lodged on the basis of the written report of the informant, to the effect that while the daughter of the informant used to go to school, all the named accused persons, including the petitioner used to tease her and also used to make indecent comments against her. The said fact was narrated by the daughter of the informant, whereupon he told her daughter not to go to school. On



22.08.2025, at around 10:30 p.m., all the named accused persons, including the petitioner came armed to the house of the informant and started abusing him. When the informant tried to stop them, co-accused, Chintu Choudhary assaulted on his head with a sword, due to which, he suffered injuries on his head. The petitioner is said to have assaulted the informant with an iron rod, due to which, his left hand was fractured. It has further been alleged that when his son, Ravi Choudhary came to save him, co-accused, Ranjeet Choudhary assaulted him on his head and when his another son, Prince Choudhary came there, co-accused, Suraj Choudhary assaulted him with an iron rod.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that both the petitioner and the informant are co-villagers and no such occurrence has taken place. The present case is counter blast of Gaurichak P.S. Case No.579 of 2025, lodged by co-accused, Chintu Choudhary against Chhote Choudhary, who is brother of the informant. It is further submitted that the injuries sustained by the informant have been found to be simple in nature and this fact has been recorded by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-V, Patna City in his order dated 17.02.2026, passed in A.B.P. Nos.2212/25 and 2519/25. It is also submitted that the petitioner has got a clean antecedent.



5. The learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Having considered the rival submissions and after going through the records, it appears that there is case and counter case in between the parties. The doctor has found the injuries sustained on the body of the informant to be simple in nature and so far the petitioner is concerned, he is said to have assaulted the informant on his left hand, due to which, his left hand was fractured.

7. Taking into consideration the facts aforesaid, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-II, Patna City in connection with Gaurichak P.S. Case No.682 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. with a further condition that:-

The learned court concerned shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court concerned shall take steps for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bond in terms of



the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of
or in the name of verification.

(Ritesh Kumar, J.)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

