

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8689 of 2025

=====

Ajit Kumar Singh S/o Late Suresh Prasad Singh, Resident of Village-
Khetalpura, P.S. - Sare, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Nalanda, Bihar Sharif.
2. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Bihar Sharif.
3. The Land Reform Deputy Collector, Bihar Sharif.
4. The Circle Officer, Asthawan, District- Nalanda.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Nalanda, Bihar Sharif.
6. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bihar Sharif.
7. The S.H.O. Sare, Police Station, District- Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Government Advocate (10)
	:	Mr. Parmendra Kumar Singh, AC to GA-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-05-2026 Heard Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and learned counsel representing the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) for issuance of appropriate direction,
order, writ in the nature of mandamus
commanding the respondents to dispose of the
representation as contained in annexure-1 of this
writ application by restraining the respondent
no.-4 and respondent no.-7 from illegally and
unlawfully interfering and forcing the petitioner
to stop construction over his land bearing Khata
No.- 279, Khesara No. 1910, total area- 3*



*dismal, Thana No. 239, Mauza Khetalpura,
Circle Office-Asthawan, P.S.- Sare, District-
Nalanda until or unless he will give nazarana for
doing construction over it.*

*(ii) for any other relief/reliefs for
which the petitioner is entitled to, in the fact and
the circumstances of this case.”*

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the Revenue/Administrative side/court by filing a fresh representation.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation that if such petition is preferred in four weeks after noticing/hearing the parties, the respondent authorities are duty bound to take the matter to its logical conclusion, at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

