

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24516 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-468 Year-2022 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

Ranjeet Kumar, S/o Late Kameshwar Prasad Singh, R/o Village - Kairva, Police Station -Ghoshi, District - Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Shanker Pankaj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner seeks bail in connection with Ghoshi P.S. Case No.468 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC').

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the FIR and is in custody since 02.02.2026.

4. As per FIR, co-accused, namely, Kallu Kumar in association with five other unknown co-accused persons committed murder of informant's son.

5. Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that allegations as to call the son of informant is specifically available against co-accused Kallu Kumar, who is named with FIR and was granted



bail by the learned trial court itself. It is further submitted that out of five unknown persons, Sanjay Sharma and Rajesh Sharma were granted anticipatory bail by one of the learned co-ordinate Bench of this court through Criminal Miscellaneous No.44766 of 2025 vide order dated 03.11.2025. It is pointed out that save and except suspicions, nothing transpired against this petitioner during the investigation as to connect him with present crime in question. It is also pointed out that the anticipatory bail prayer of petitioner was rejected by this Court for the reason that he was involved in five more criminal cases. Explaining criminal antecedent, it is submitted that out of five criminal cases, two matters now ended with compromise and in all five cases, petitioner is on bail. In this context, it is further submitted that if the merit of the case is otherwise appears in favour of the accused/ petitioner, merely on the basis of his criminal antecedents, the prayer of bail should not ordinarily be rejected. In support of his submissions, learned counsel has relied upon legal report of Hon'ble Supreme Court as available through ***Prabhakar Tewari vs. State of U.P. & Ors. [(2020) 11 SCC 648]***.

6.While concluding argument, it is submitted that



investigation of this case is already completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted and, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence

7. Learned APP opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

8. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact, as *prima facie* except suspicions nothing incriminating appears against this petitioner, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner remains in custody since 02.02.2026, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad in connection with Ghoshi P.S. Case No.468 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'CrPC')/under Section 480(3) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (for short 'BNSS')

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Raushan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

