

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26947 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-333 Year-2024 Thana- BARARI District- Katihar

=====

Golu Ram @ Roshan Ram Son of Raj Kishor Ram R/O- Bhawanipur, P.S.-
Semapur, Distt.- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-07-2026 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
28.12.2025 in connection with Barari P.S. Case No. 333 of
2024, F.I.R. dated 21.11.2024 for the offences punishable under
Section 310(2) of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 25(1-B)A, 26, 27
and 35 of the Arms Act and later on Section 317(3) of the BNS,
2023 was added.

3. According to prosecution case, six accused persons
intercepted the informant and on the point of gun they looted
Rs. 65,169/- and other articles from him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Initially the petitioner was not named in the FIR and his name has been transpired on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused, namely, Md. Rejabul Ansari. Thereafter, one mobile phone, one country made pistol and two live cartridges were recovered from the house of the petitioner. He further submits that no incriminating articles have been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. He further submits that similarly situated, co-accused, namely, Md. Rejabul Ansarai who has confessed the name of the petitioner has been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 65825 of 2025. The petitioner is in custody since 28.12.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner carries two criminal antecedents other than the present one.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM 1st,



Katihar in connection with Barari P.S. Case No. 333 of 2024,
subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

