

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26605 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2869 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Patna

Saurav Kumar S/O Pankaj Prasad Resident Of Kurmi Tola, Chaili Tar, Ward
No 58, Ps Alamganj, District Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Chaudhary
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State Mr. Rabindra Kumar.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 32,
41, 56(b) and 62 of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 438.75 litres of liquor from a godown. It is next
submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such
nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even
alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the
petitioner and he came to be implicated based on the statement
of the owner of the godown before the police. It is next
submitted that it appears that owner of the godown with a view



to save himself falsely implicated the petitioner when petitioner admittedly had left the premises much before the occurrence took place.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Excise P.S. Case No.2869/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order



shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

