

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24234 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2026 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

1. Nirmal Singh S/o Ram Bachan Singh R/o Village - Semri, P.S. - Sheosagar, Dist. - Rohtas.
2. Ishu Kumar S/o Nirmal Singh R/o Village - Semri, P.S. - Sheosagar, Dist. - Rohtas.
3. Sudarshan Singh S/o Late Ram Pyare Singh R/o Village - Takia, P.S. - Sasaram Town, Dist. - Rohtas.
4. Prakash Kumar @ Jay Prakash Kumar S/o Vijendra Singh R/o Village - Karpurwa, P.S. - Darigawan, Dist. - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Sasaram Town P.S. Case No. 95 of 2026, instituted under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 351(2), 324(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, on the date of occurrence F.I.R. named accused persons including the petitioners came and started assaulting the informant causing injury on her head and hand. On hearing the noise, husband of



the informant came there then all the accused persons also assaulted him. It is alleged that Petitioner No. 4 and co-accused Govind Kumar assaulted the husband of informant causing thigh injury. Petitioner No. 4 also snatched golden chain from the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner No. 3 is father-in-law of the informant and other petitioners are his relatives. In course of Panchayati, scuffle took place between the husband and *Devar* of the informant and due to ulterior motive the informant implicated the petitioners. Injury on the injured is simple in nature. There is dispute of partition between the parties. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. They undertake to co-operate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, petitioners are relatives of informant and there is land dispute between them, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioners be released on bail



upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Sasaram Town P.S. Case No. 95 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.), 2023.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J.)

rakhi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

