

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24374 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-40 Year-2026 Thana- BIHPUR District- Bhagalpur

Ranjan Yadav S/O Upendra Yadav R/O Village- Lattipur, P.S.- Bihpur, Dist.- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 03.03.2026 in connection with Bihpur P.S. Case No. 40 of 2026 for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that on 2/3/2026 at about 21.20 hours the informant along with Police party was in patrolling duty and also conducting raid against warrantee and illegal liquor Trader. It is next alleged that when the informant reached near Verma Set Chowk he got secret information that one Ranjan Yadav who is illegal liquor trader unloaded liquor from pickup van in the Litchi orchard of Sachita Sharma situated in Amarpur Bahiyar. It is next alleged that



when the informant his superior V officer and reach near the ordered. Or seeing the police party a white colour four wheeler registration No. BR10AS 4547 and 6/7 unknown person fleeing away, Local Chowkidar has disclosed the name of one person as Ranjan Yadav. It is next alleged that on search of the Litchi orchard Police seized 264.375 litres country made foreign liquor of different branch which was seized and seizure list was prepared. It is next alleged that thereafter white colour four wheeler bearing no. BR 10AS 4547 was over power by the police as apprehended the driver who disclosed his name as Sourav Kumar and on search one Vivo Mobile Phone was seized and seizure list was prepared.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it appears from the FIR and seizure list that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and altogether 264.375 liters of country made liquor was recovered from the orchid of one Sachita Sharma and name of the petitioner has transpired on the disclosure made by the local chowkidar and except the aforesaid, nothing has come during the course of investigation to suggest the involvement of



petitioner in the present occurrence and Chowkidar has stated that six to seven persons have fled from the place of occurrence. It is next submitted that petitioner is in custody since 03.03.2026.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner has antecedent of six cases other than the present case but fairly submits that petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Exclusive Special Judge Excise-01 Bhagalpur, District-Bhagalpur in connection with Bihpur P.S. Case No. 40 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the



witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

