

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31345 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-240 Year-2025 Thana- JALALGARH District- Purnia

Md. Danish @ Md. Danish Rza S/o Md. Nazim R/o Village - Jianganj, P.S -
Kasba, District - Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhav Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH
ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. This is an application for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in connection with Complaint Case No.2536 of 2025 converted into Jalalgarh P.S. Case No. 240 of 2025 dated 28.11.2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 89, 64, 351(2), 352 of BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, the allegation is that the petitioner is the neighbour of the victim and he began to make physical relationship with her by making false promise of marriage and thereafter she became pregnant and when she told him for marriage with her, he denied and got her pregnancy aborted. It has been further alleged that the petitioner demanded dowry of Rs. 4 lakhs for marriage and they also threatened



family members of the informant. Hence the F.I.R. was instituted.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case and the petitioner has raised the serious doubt of the genuineness of the prosecution story as no any specific date and time of the alleged occurrence has been mentioned by the informant in this case and there is no medical evidence or electronic evidence against the petitioner to show the involvement of the petitioner and moreover as per the allegation in the F.I.R. the relationship between the informant and petitioner is consensual and no case under Section 376 is made out against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 30.01.2026, having clean antecedent.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that petitioner has no criminal antecedent, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Purnea, in connection



with Complaint Case No.2536 of 2025 converted into Jalalgarh
P.S. Case No. 240 of 2025, subject to following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors should be family member of the
petitioner.

(ii) Petitioner will co-operate in the trial and will
remain present on all dates personally or should be duly
represented through his counsel and whenever required to be
physically present by the court, he should physically appear in
the Court on that date.

(iii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the
witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move
for cancellation of bail.

(Kumar Manish, J)

sanjeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

