

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23733 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1078 Year-2022 Thana- MUFFASIL District- West Champaran

Raja @ Ranjit Patel S/o Ram Raj Patel @ Ramraj Prasad Resident of Village-
Tikulia Bhaza Tola, Ward No 12, Police Station- Paharpur, District- East
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shakil Ahmad Khan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Murli Dhar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 16-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bettiah Mufassil P.S. Case No. 1078 of 2022 for the offence punishable under sections 379 of IPC lodged on 19.12.2022 by the informant.

3. As per the prosecution case, the motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR-22AG 6310 of the informant was stolen which was parked in front of the house of one Naimuddin Quraishi at Pipra Chowk, for which this petitioner is alleged to be instrumental. Accordingly, the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on allegation of theft of motorcycle being registration no. BR-22AG 6310, two First Information Reports have been lodged by



the informant. In the present FIR, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail while the second FIR being Bettiah Mufassil PS Case No.1094 of 2022 dated 26.12.2022 has been lodged against unknown. It has next been submitted that no recovery of any incriminating materials has been made from the constructive possession of the petitioner or from his house and he has got no connection with the theft of any motorcycle as alleged. It is the case of the petitioner that he being the confectioner prepared sweets of Rs. 12,000/- for the wedding of the informant's daughter and when he demanded his money, he was paid only Rs. 4000/- through online mode and the informant further refused to pay the outstanding amount of Rs. 8000/-. Hence, with an ulterior motive and in order to settle the money dispute the FIRs have been lodged. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that the petitioner has got one antecedent in which he is on bail

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the nature of allegation and two FIRs have been registered for the same occurrence, no recovery of any incriminating materials has been made from the constructive possession of the petitioner or from his house, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.



7. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Chief Judicial Magistrate, West Champaran, Bettiah** in connection with aforesaid PS Case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his/their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

