

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29324 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-114 Year-2024 Thana- JURAWANPUR District- Vaishali

Rahul Kumar S/o Setha Rai @ Seth Rai R/o vill - Raghapur Purbi, P.S.-  
Jurawanpur, Dist.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
  2. X D/o Y R/o vill - Raghapur Purbi, P.s.- Jurawanpur, Distt.- Vaishali
- ... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajiv Nayan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4      24-07-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Special  
(POCSO) G.R. No. 95 of 2024, arising out of Jurawanpur P.S.  
Case No. 114 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable  
under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 4  
and 6 of POCSO Act.

3.      This is the third attempt of the petitioner for bail.  
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail  
which was twice rejected by this Court vide order dated  
21.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 87244 of 2024 and order  
dated 31.10.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 74064 of 2025 taking  
into consideration the nature of accusation and the gravity of  
the offence.



4. In compliance of the order dated 03.07.2026, a report dated 13.07.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that arguments of both sides have been completed and now the record has been fixed for hearing on the points of law from the side of the defence. It is further reported that the trial is likely to be concluded within a period of one month.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 30.06.2024 without any rhymes or reason and has got no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Rajorshi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

