

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25375 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-558 Year-2015 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Nawada

=====

Ajay Chaudhary @ Ajay Kumar S/o Rajendra Chaudhary @ Rajo R/o Vill-
Jandhaul, P.S.- Sirdalla, Distt- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sheo Nandan Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ramesh Chandra, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with G.O.Case No.558 of 2015, registered for the offences
punishable under Section 47(A)(F) of Excise Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the police
seized 300 kg. of *Jawa maua* from the place of occurrence.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has
not committed any offence. Learned counsel further submitted
that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case
only on suspicion. The petitioner is neither licensee nor he was
involved in preparation of country-made liquor at the relevant
time under the old Act.



5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions of the parties, as well as, considering the fact that the petitioner is neither licensee nor he was involved in preparation of country-made liquor at the relevant time under the old Act, as such, the petitioner has *prima facie* made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the district court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned district court where the case is pending in connection with G.O. Case No.558 of 2015, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) of BNSS.

7. The learned district court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in paragraph No.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

8. If the petitioner is again found to be involved in any



case instituted under Section 30 of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act, the Superintendent of Police must direct the
Investigating Officer concerned to take the petitioner into
custody in accordance with law.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

