

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23823 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2026 Thana- BODHGAYA District- Gaya

Umesh Rajak Son of Late Ramchandra Rajak Resident of Village- Pachhati
Bodhgaya, P.S.- Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya- 824231

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Kumar Dhirendra Pratap Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

5 14-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. On the assurance of providing job on the post of ICT Lab
Instructor, informant and two other persons are said to have
given Rs.5,15,000/- in cash and Rs.85,000/- through digital
mode to co-accused, Praveen Kumar but neither they got
appointed nor the money was returned.

4. At the outset, it is submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner happens to be the Principal of the
school in question and he had appointed one agency, namely,
Shree Swarn International Services Private Limited for the



purpose of establishment of ICT Lab and the allegation in the present case is with regard to providing job to informant as a Lab Instructor, which allurements, if any, were not provided by this petitioner, rather it was the agency Shree Swarn International Services Private Limited, who would be responsible for any such appointment.

5. It is further submitted that, although the date of occurrence is mentioned as 02.01.2024 and, according to the assertion made in the F.I.R., within five to seven days, the forged appointment letter etc. was issued, however, the present F.I.R. was lodged after an inordinate and unexplained delay on 25.01.2026. It is next submitted that the petitioner is nowhere responsible for any monetary transaction between the informant and other accused persons for the purposes of providing him a job. Moreover, the very purpose of even giving of money for securing a job is illegal. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail submitting that he had to part with the hard earned money on account of securing the job.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of



the case and also considering the fact that the petitioner being the Principal of the school in question and there being no evidence to show any monetary transaction by way of his bank account or otherwise, coupled with the fact that the he has no criminal antecedent, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Bodh Gaya P.S. Case No. 50 of 2026, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C/ Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Trivedi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

