

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23715 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-337 Year-2025 Thana- SHERGHATI District- Gaya

Abhay Kumar @ Bholu Vishwakarma @ Bholu Son of Om Vishwakarma @
Umashankar Vishwakarma @ Umashankar Mistri Resident of Mohalla-
Ramana, Sherghatti, P.S.- Sherghatti, District- Gayaji

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-07-2026 Heard Mr.Arvind Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Sherghati P.S. Case No. 337 of 2025, F.I.R.
dated 20.07.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections
109, 126(2), 115(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 27
of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, while the informant
was walking in the garden, three accused persons on motorcycle
overtook him and co-accused Danish Ekbal fired upon him due
to which he received injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner has clean antecedent. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially the petitioner was not named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner has been transpired during investigation on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused persons, namely, Vikky Kumar, Aashish Kumar, Piyush Kumar and Satish Kumar @ Chandan @ Chadiya and they have stated that the petitioner was involved in the present crime in question and he alongwith other co-accused persons have fired upon the victim and injury inflicted upon the injured person is grievous in nature caused by firearm injury.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State, on other other hand, have vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Sherghati P.S. Case No. 337 of 2025 pending in the court of learned C.J.M., Sherghati, Gayaji.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

