

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1288 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.690 of 2025

=====

Sahil Singh @ Raj Kumar Singh S/o-Dhirendra Singh Resident of Village-
Kathar, P.O.-Kathar, P.S.- Krishnabrahma District-Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Deepak Kumar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Patna, Bihar,
2. Abhay Kumar Singh, the Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Patna, Bihar.
3. Mihir Singh, the principal Secretary, Department of Panchyati Raj Patna, Bihar,
4. Anshul Aggrawal the District Magistrate, Buxar.
5. Mahendra Pal the District development Commissioner, Buxar.
6. Vidyanath Pasawan the Monitoring Officer, Department of Panchayati Raj Patna.
7. Rakesh Kumar the Sub-Divisional Officer, Dumaron, Buxar.
8. Bhagwati Shankar Pandey the Circle Officer, Brahmipur, Buxar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Kr. Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Government Pleader 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 25-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present contempt application has been filed for
non-compliance of the order dated 21.01.2025 passed in CWJC
No.690 of 2025.

3. The aforesaid writ petition was disposed of with
direction to respondent No.4 (District Magistrate, Buxar) to



consider the representation of the petitioner dated 05.12.2024 and dispose of the same in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

4. A show cause has been filed on behalf of the State/Opposite Parties stating therein that the representation of the petitioner was disposed of vide order dated 23.12.2025 (Annexure-OP4/F of the show cause).

5. Considering the aforesaid, it appears that the Opposite Parties have complied the order of this Court.

6. In view of the above, the contempt application stands disposed of.

7. The petitioner is at liberty to assail the same before the appropriate forum, if so advised.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

