

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25177 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-7 Year-2026 Thana- UCHKAGAON District- Gopalganj

Kalim Parwez @ Kalim Parbej S/O Anwarul Haq @ Anarul Haque R/o Vill.-
Ter, (Khemraj), P.S - Kateya, District - Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Diwakar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-04-2026 Heard Mr. Diwakar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 25.01.2026, in connection with Uchkagaon P.S. Case No. 07 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 06.01.2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352, 351(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he has assaulted to the informant by means of knife due to which he sustained head injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It appears from the F.I.R. that due to some petty dispute, the present occurrence had taken



place. Although, there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted to the informant by means of knife and he has received injury but the injury report of the informant suggests that the injury is simple in nature and apart from aforesaid, the injury report also reveals that the injury inflicted upon the injured person by hard and blunt substance which suggests that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. does not support by the medical evidence as well as the date of occurrence as alleged in the F.I.R. is 30.12.2025 but the present F.I.R. was instituted on 06.01.2026 after delay of seven days without giving any explanation of delay. The petitioner is in custody since 25.01.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. does not support by the medical evidence, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-I, Gopalganj in connection



with Uchkagaon P.S. Case No. 07 of 2026, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

