

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24928 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-565 Year-2025 Thana- SAHARSA SADAR District- Saharsa

1. Ram Das Thakur @ Jitendra Kumar S/O Ram Kumar Thakur Resident of Village- Amarpur, P.S.- Sonbarsa Kachahari, District- Saharsa
2. Muklesh Thakur @ Muklesh Kumar Son of Ram Kumar Thakur. Resident of Village- Amarpur, P.S.- Sonbarsa Kachahari, District- Saharsa
3. Kailash Thakur Son of Lakshman Thakur @ Lachan Thakur Resident of Village- Amarpur, P.S.- Sonbarsa Kachahari, District- Saharsa
4. Rajesh Thakur @ Rajesh Kumar Son of Ratan Thakur Resident of Village- Amarpur, P.S.- Sonbarsa Kachahari, District- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kamal Kishore Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Syed Ehteshamuddin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80, 238 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that her daughter was married to Mithlesh in April 2024, further on 15-5-2025 the father-in-law of the victim called and informed that victim received electric current, thus she is being



taken to the hospital, on query with regard to the name of the hospital, the same was not disclosed, thereafter informant tried to call other family members of the victim's husband, but their mobile phone was switched off, further alleges that on the next day, when grand-father of the victim reached the place of occurrence, he was informed by the villagers that the victim had been killed by the accused persons and endeavors were made to cremate her, further the accused persons for dowry used to torture the victim, as was disclosed by the victim earlier.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no. 1 is brother-in-law (dewar), petitioner no. 2 is brother-in-law (bhaisur), petitioner no. 3 is cousin father-in-law and petitioner no. 4 is cousin brother-in-law. It is next submitted that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant based on suspicion. It is further submitted that similarly situated co-accused Ram Kumar Thakur and Chanda Devi had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 71014 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 4-11-2025 after considering the case on merits and in detail. It is also submitted that husband is in custody. It is further submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their



innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners but then is not in a position to rebut the submission made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that Ram Kumar Thakur and Chanda Devi had been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by this Court.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and taking into consideration the order dated 4-11-2025 in Cr. Misc No. 71014 of 2025, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Saharsa (Sadar) P.S. Case No. 565 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioners, despite giving assurance to this Court, are not cooperating in the



investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

