

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25425 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2025 Thana- BANIAPUR District- Saran

Satrudhan Kumar @ Satrudhan Mahto, Male, aged about 22 Years, Son of
Harendra Mahto, Resident of Village- Beruie, P.S.- Baniyapur, District- Saran
at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shakti Suman Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2026 Heard Mr. Shakti Suman Kumar, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Jharkhandi
Upadhyay, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Baniyapur P.S. Case No. 107 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 127(1), 115(2), 118(1),
117(2), 109, 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioner
along with other co-accused persons, with an intention to kill,
had assaulted the informant side causing injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely
been implicated in the present case. Both the parties were



involved in dispute with respect to a piece of land, due to which, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into free fight and in the self-defence, petitioner may have caused some injury to the persons of the informant, without intention. Injury attributable to the petitioner, which the wife of the informant had sustained, has been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature. There is case and counter case between the parties. Petitioner has clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that both the parties were involved in dispute with respect to a piece of land, due to which, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into free fight and in the self-defence, petitioner may have caused some injury to the persons of the informant, without intention. Injury attributable to the petitioner, which the wife of the informant had sustained, has been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature. There is case and counter case between the parties. Petitioner has clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on



pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Baniyapur P.S. Case No. 107 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioner as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

